

Euler's formula and wishful thinking

Given the partial derivatives of a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_D$, one can use integration to recover the potential F . In fact, one of the many Euler formulas gives a way to express F as a combination of its partials:

$$F = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F.$$

💡 How does a higher order partials version of Euler's formula look like?

However, if only the vector space of all directional derivatives

$$\langle \nabla^1 F \rangle := \left\{ \partial_v F \mid v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \right\} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} F, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is known, then recovering F is generally no longer possible, not even up to scaling!

Example. For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have

$$F = ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \langle \nabla^1 F \rangle = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

On the other hand, $G = xyz$ is the only form with $\langle \nabla^1 G \rangle = \langle xy, xz, yz \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. 💡 Why?

This leads to some interesting questions:

1. Can a general form F be recovered from its spaces of higher order partials $\langle \nabla^d F \rangle$?
2. If not, how does the set of polynomials with the same partials look like?
3. And which subspaces $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{D-d}$ are spaces of partials?

Apolarity: The differentiation action

Consider a finite \mathbb{C} -vector space V , let

- $T := S(V) = \bigoplus_D S^D(V)$ be the symmetric tensor algebra and
- $S := \text{Sym}(V^*) = \bigoplus_d \text{Sym}^d(V^*)$ the symmetric algebra.

After choosing a basis $V = \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, we can identify $T = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and $S = \mathbb{C}[\partial_0, \dots, \partial_n]$, where $\partial_i = x_i^*$. Tensor contraction defines the **apolar pairing** $S_d \times T_D \rightarrow T_{D-d}$, which under this identification corresponds to differentiation

$$\partial^\alpha \bullet x^\beta = \frac{\beta!}{(\beta - \alpha)!} x^{\beta - \alpha} \text{ if } \alpha \leq \beta, \text{ else } 0.$$

For $d = D$ this is a perfect pairing, identifying $(S^D V)^* = \text{Sym}^D(V^*)$, and in general defines a S -module structure on T (!). In this sense S is the commutative ring of partial differential operators with constant coefficients on T .

💡 Is T finitely generated over S ? Dare to think about positive characteristics?

The annihilator of $F \in T_D$, i.e. all operators which kill F , is the **apolar ideal** of F

$$F^\perp := \text{Ann}_S(F) = \{ g \in S \mid g \bullet F = 0 \}.$$

The graded ideal F^\perp equals S in degrees $> D$, and the quotient S/F^\perp is a graded Artinian Gorenstein \mathbb{C} -algebra of socle degree D (see for example [1]).

Theorem. (Macaulay) The map $F \mapsto S/F^\perp$ induces a bijection

$$\mathbb{P}(T_D) \rightarrow \{ \text{Graded Gorenstein Artinian quotients of } S \text{ of socle deg. } D \}.$$

💡 Can you show it's injective? Hint: Look at the degree D component.

Forms with spaces of partials of given dimension

For fixed $F \in T_D$ and any $0 < d < D$, the apolar pairing defines the linear **Catalecticant map** sending a form $g(\partial)$ to the derivative of F with respect to g

$$F_{d,D-d}: S_d \rightarrow T_{D-d}, \quad g \mapsto g \bullet F.$$

The image of $F_{d,D-d}$ is exactly its space of d -th order partials, while the kernel is the d -th graded component of F^\perp (by definition). In particular, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (F^\perp)_d \longrightarrow S_d \xrightarrow{F_{d,D-d}} \langle \nabla^d F \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus image, kernel and rank of $F_{d,D-d}$ are of great interest for our question. Varying F , we would like to consider a morphism mapping $F \mapsto [\text{Im } F_{d,D-d}]$ into some Grassmannian. To make this idea rigorous, we need to introduce the appropriate domains of definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ &:= \{ [F] \in \mathbb{P}(T_D) \mid \text{rk } F_{d,D-d} = k \}, \\ \text{Sub}_{d,k} &:= \overline{\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ} = \{ [F] \in \mathbb{P}(T_D) \mid \text{rk } F_{d,D-d} \leq k \} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq k_{\max} := \min\left\{ \binom{n+d}{n}, \binom{n+D-d}{n} \right\}$.

💡 Why this k_{\max} ? Can $\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$ be empty?

Example. For a form $F \in T_D$ let $\text{Supp } F$ be the smallest subspace $U \subseteq V = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $F \in S^D U$, so F is a polynomial on the (smaller) subspace U . Then

$$\text{Sub}_{1,k} = \{ [F] \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Supp } F \leq k \}$$

is the set of polynomials which (after a coordinate change) depend only on k variables.

Warning: For arbitrary (n, D, d, k) the varieties $\text{Sub}_{d,k}$ may not be irreducible!

Spaces of partials as a morphism

For fixed $0 < d < D$ and $1 \leq k \leq k_{\max}$ consider the rational morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{d,k}: \text{Sub}_{d,k} &\dashrightarrow \text{Gr}(k, T_{D-d}), & F &\mapsto [\text{Im}(F_{d,D-d})] \\ \beta_{d,k}: \text{Sub}_{d,k} &\dashrightarrow \text{Gr}\left(\binom{n+d}{n} - k, S_d\right), & F &\mapsto [\text{Ker}(F_{d,D-d})] \end{aligned}$$

with domain of definition $\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$. The first question on the left is *exactly*, whether $\alpha_{d,k_{\max}}$ is generically injective, while the second and third question ask about fibers and images of the rational maps $\alpha_{d,k}$.

The maps $\alpha_{d,k}$ and $\beta_{d,k}$ are closely related: The duality $\text{Gr}(k, W) \cong \text{Gr}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W - k, W^*)$ for $W = T_d$ induces the following commuting quadrangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sub}_{D-d,k}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{D-d,k}} & \text{Gr}(k, T_d) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\beta_{d,k}} & \text{Gr}\left(\binom{n+d}{n} - k, S_d\right) \end{array}$$

💡 Convince yourself that the two $\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$'s on the left indeed coincide.

Thus any property of $\alpha_{d,k}$ immediately translates into a property of $\beta_{D-d,k}$ and vice versa, making it possible to use either of these two whenever convenient. The fibers of these morphism are very well-behaved:

Theorem. For every $F \in \text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$ the scheme-theoretic fiber $\alpha_{d,k}^{-1}(\alpha_{d,k}(F))$ is reduced and the intersection of a linear space $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}(T_D)$ with $\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$. In other words, the closures of the fibers are linear spaces.

Corollary. The following are equivalent:

- $\alpha_{d,k}$ is birational onto its image;
- Each irreducible component of $\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ$ has a F with $\alpha_{d,k}^{-1}(\alpha_{d,k}(F)) = \{F\}$ as sets.

First main result: Fibers of $\alpha_{1,k}$ for $d = 1$

Theorem. The morphisms $\alpha_{1,k}$ are birational onto their image except in the following cases:

1. $D = 2$ and $2 \leq k \leq n + 1$, then the fibers are $\alpha_{1,k}^{-1}([U]) = \mathbb{P}(S^2 U) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S^2 V)$.
2. $D = 3$ and $k = 2$, then fibers are one-dimensional. Considered as points in $F_1(\text{Sub}_{1,2})$, they are the secant lines $\text{Sec}_2 V^{n,3}$.

Here $F_1(X) \subseteq \text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}(T_3))$ is the **Fano scheme** of lines and $\text{Sec}_2 V^{n,3} \subseteq \text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}(T_3))$ is the (abstract) secant variety of the **Veronese variety** $V^{n,3} = \nu_3(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

For $D = 3$ this was worked out previously in [2], this project is a continuation of these ideas. The key player in the proof is the form

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i^{D-1} x_{(i+1) \bmod k} \in T_D,$$

we show that (in most cases) it is the unique form with

$$\langle \nabla^1 F \rangle = \langle (D-1)x_i^{D-2} x_{i+1} + x_{i-1}^{D-1} \mid 0 \leq i < k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

(indices again mod k). The variety $\text{Sub}_{1,k}$ is irreducible, so the existence of F implies birationality.

💡 Explain 1. using diagonalization of quadratic forms over \mathbb{C} !

The next steps

Once the fibers and birationality are understood, the next question is studying the image of $\alpha_{d,k}$, i.e. the variety of subspaces of partials of polynomials

$$\mathcal{Z}_{d,k}^\circ := \alpha_{d,k}(\text{Sub}_{d,k}^\circ), \quad \mathcal{Z}_{d,k} := \overline{\mathcal{Z}_{d,k}^\circ}, \quad \partial \mathcal{Z}_{d,k} := \overline{\mathcal{Z}_{d,k}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{d,k}^\circ$$

The main result for $d = 1$ allows us in that case to compute the dimension (in the non-exceptional cases) as

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_{d,k} = \dim \text{Sub}_{d,k} = k(n+1-k) + \binom{d+k-1}{d} - 1.$$

It would be interesting to determine the class of $\mathcal{Z}_{d,k}$ in the Chow ring of the Grassmannian. To study the boundary we introduce the **Catalecticant enveloping varieties**

$$\Phi_{d,k} := \{ [\Gamma] \in \text{Gr}(k, T_{D-d}) \mid \text{Im}(F_{d,D-d}) \subseteq \Gamma \text{ for some } [F] \in \text{Sub}_{d,k} \} \supseteq \mathcal{Z}_{d,k}.$$

The irreducible components $\Phi_{d,k}$ provide insight into the boundary $\partial \mathcal{Z}_{d,k}$. In a different direction, we can also study the case $n+1 = 2$ (but d arbitrary), which is closely related to Hankel matrices and Waring decomposition of binary forms.

References

- [1] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, 1999.
- [2] J. Sendra-Arranz, "The hessian correspondence of hypersurfaces of degree 3 and 4," 2023.